



ANNEX 5

Third-party Audit Evaluation Report

ICZM SAS PROPOSAL

| | |
|------------------------|---|
| Coordination: | Marina Marković, PAP/RAC Daniela Addis, National Project Coordinator for Italy Ina Janushi and Rezart Kapedani, National Project Coordinators for Albania Tea Marasović, PAP/RAC |
| Author: | Elisa Ulazzi |
| Proofreading: | Alkemist studio LLC |
| Cover design: | Tessa Bachrach-Krištofić |
| Layout editing: | Old school S.P. |
| Cover photo: | © N. Russo |

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the UNEP/MAP concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The report was prepared as part of the Transboundary CAMP Otranto project.

For citation:

UNEP/MAP – PAP/RAC (2023). ICZM System and Audit Scheme. Priority Actions Programme / Regional Activity Centre (PAP/RAC), Split (Croatia). Pp. 28 + annexes

Details of the applicant

| | |
|--|--|
| Type of application | <input type="checkbox"/> First application <input type="checkbox"/> Renewal for year <.....> First certification awarded on the ../../.. |
| Application no. | <.....> |
| Application received on the | ../../.. |
| Name of the activity | <.....> |
| Official name of the entity applying for the ICZM certification | <.....> |
| Contact person and contacts | <i>Name and surname</i> <.....> <i>Email:</i> <.....> <i>Phone number:</i> <.....> <i>Mob. number:</i> <.....> |
| Person in charge for the evaluation of the Application no. <.....> | <i>Name and surname</i> <.....> <i>Email:</i> <.....> <i>Phone number:</i> <.....> <i>Mob. number:</i> <.....> |

Descriptive indicators

Section 2: Operative and geographic extent

Description of the activity

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize the main characteristic of the initiative/activity in relation to its specific objectives and of expected results, and in relation to methodologies applied/foreseen as well as target groups and final beneficiaries. Please indicate the temporal framework of implementation of the initiative/activity.

[max 2000 characters]

Area of focus

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize the main information provided in terms of area of focus regarding extension and limits and main ecosystems concerned.

[max 2000 characters]

Section 3: Eligibility requirements

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize which (if applicable) nulla osta or authorization to which the initiative/activity is subject. Please summarize main plans, laws and regulations applicable for the area of focus of the initiative/activity indicated by the Applicant. As far as possible, even if the indicator is not a qualifying indicator, indicate if the description provided by the Applicant mentioned all the plans and strategies applicable for the coastal zone of interest.

[max 2000 characters]

Section 4: ICZM-related boundary enabling conditions

Governance

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize the coastal priorities that the activity is addressing and what the level of awareness and support is from the key stakeholders in relation to these priorities and how the initiative/activity is considered to benefit from them. As far as possible, even if the indicator is not a qualifying indicator, indicate if the understanding of the Applicant is adherent to the whole governance in place in the area of focus, or if, according to updated information available, the Applicant highlights a lack of knowledge of the overall governance and awareness tools put in place by relevant authorities and decision-makers.

[max 2000 characters]

Territorial background knowledge and baselines

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize the relevant studies, database, monitoring programmes, and indicators made available by relevant institutions (decision-makers, research institutions) in relation to the initiative/activity as mentioned by the Applicant. As far as possible, even if the indicator is not a qualifying indicator, indicate if the overview presented by the Applicant highlights a lack of knowledge of the overall available background knowledge and baselines made available by different and several stakeholders.

[max 2000 characters]

Qualifying quantitative indicators

Section 5. Qualifying quantitative indicators



Descriptor 1: Vision and commitment

Indicator 1.1: Vision and mission

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize the vision and mission of the initiative/activity and highlight which and how many aspects related to ICZM objectives and principles the initiative/activity includes or takes into consideration. Refer to the plans and/or strategies the initiative/activity takes into consideration or is aligned to. Please provide your evaluation by considering the degree of alignment or misalignment with respect to the global situation of the coastal area and the reference ecosystem as well as the related administrative and planning context in which the activity is located.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| | | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Indicator 1.2: Commitment

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize the commitment of the initiative/activity towards the implementation of the vision and mission, by specifying the specific (SMART) objectives and performance indicators and their time frame and targets. Please provide your evaluation by considering the degree of commitment concretely put in place compared to the potential commitment the initiative/activity could put in place, by considering their vision and mission, but also the overall governance and strategies for the concerned area.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).

| | | | |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |



Descriptor 2: Instruments and measures for implementation of vision and commitments

Indicator 2.1: Coastal zones sustainable development measures

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please list the measures undertaken and/or put in place and/or maintained by the initiative/activity contributing to ICZM objectives and principles, by summarizing main related information (e.g. methodologies, tools, equipment). Please provide your judgement of the measures implemented or envisaged to be implemented compared to the contribution towards concerned ICZM objectives and principles.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Indicator 2.2: Capacity building

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please list training activities implemented or envisaged to be implemented by the initiative/activity, by summarizing main topics, final beneficiaries and if the training activities are performed once or periodically and if they are organized internally or outsourced. Please provide a judgement on the training activities performed or envisaged to be performed compared to the overall topics related to the vision and mission and related measures put in place.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Indicator 2.3: Networking and knowledge sharing

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please list networking activities implemented or envisaged to be implemented by the initiative/activity, by summarizing the type of entities concerned, related sectors and topics. Please also include if networking activities also include exchanging of data for the achievement of the vision/mission. As far as possible, according to your knowledge, please provide a judgement on the networking activities performed or envisaged to be performed compared to the potentiality of networking at the local, regional and national level.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Applicant Evaluation |  <p>[please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others]</p> | Final Evaluation |  <p>[please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others]</p> |
| <p>[max 2000 characters]</p> | | | |

Indicator 2.4: Participatory approach/planning

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize, if applicable, participatory approach and planning activities (and concerned entity/tools involved/used) employed for the definition of the initiative/activity strategy.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| | | | |
|------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| Applicant Evaluation |  <p>[please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others]</p> | Final Evaluation |  <p>[please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others]</p> |
| <p>[max 2000 characters]</p> | | | |

Indicator 2.5: Coherence between public and private activities

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize alignments of the initiative/activity to policies, strategies and/or programmes at different levels, by including how and in which way the initiative/activity has considered them and to what extent. Please provide a judgement on the alignment to the concerned policies, strategies and/or programmes and highlight if some other policies, strategies and/or programmes have not been considered at all.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Indicator 2.6: Assessments

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please list ICZM related assessments performed by the initiative/activity, by including reference to the use of standard methods. By considering the type of initiative/activity, please provide a judgement on the validity of the assessment performed and as far as possible and if applicable, provide a brief overview of weaknesses.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Indicator 2.7: Financial engagement

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize the financial allocation of the activity related to the implementation of measures specified in indicator 2.1 and contributing to objectives and principles listed in indicator 1.1. If provided by the applicant, please report the % of financial allocation % and total per year.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).

| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |



Descriptor 3: Monitoring and Data Sharing & Transparency

Indicator 3.1: Monitoring And Observation Mechanisms

Instruction for the evaluator:

If applicable, please list the voluntary monitoring/observation mechanism implemented or envisaged to be implemented related to the progress on initiative/activity commitments relevant to ICZM objectives and principles and specify if it is or is envisaged to be publicly available.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Indicator 3.2: Database

Instruction for the evaluator:

If applicable, please list the databases created and maintained or envisaged to be created and maintained in relation to ICZM objectives and principles and specify which data is included and how it is incorporated and updated.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).



| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Indicator 3.3: Data sharing and transparency

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please summarize, if applicable, which environmental and/or social aspects/data related to the monitoring/observation mechanism and/or database in relation to ICZM objectives and principles are shared by indicating if they are publicly available.

Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).

| Applicant Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to the evaluation given by the Applicant and delete the others] | Final Evaluation |  [please leave the traffic light according to your evaluation and delete the others] |
|-----------------------|--|------------------|--|
| [max 2000 characters] | | | |

Final evaluation and scoring

Instruction for the evaluator:

Use the appropriate table for private or public initiative/activity and delete the other. Fill in the table by reporting the original evaluation of the Applicant and your evaluation [leave the corresponding traffic light and delete the others]. Please provide a brief justification in case of discrepancy(ies).

The final evaluation is reported in the following table.

For private activities

| Descriptor/Indicators | Applicant Evaluation | Competent body evaluation | Relevance |
|---|----------------------|---------------------------|--|
| Vision and mission | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | PRIMARY |
| Commitment | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | PRIMARY |
| 2.1 Coastal zones sustainable development measures | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | PRIMARY |
| 2.2 Capacity building | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | SECONDARY |
| 2.3 Networking and knowledge sharing | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | SECONDARY |
| 2.4 Participatory approach/planning | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | SECONDARY |
| 2.5 Coherence between public and private activities | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | PRIMARY |
| 2.6 Assessments | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | SECONDARY |
| 2.7 Financial engagement | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | SECONDARY |
| 3.1 Monitoring and observation | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | PRIMARY |
| 3.2 Database | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | SECONDARY |
| 3.3 Data sharing and transparency | ☺ ☹ ☠ | ☺ ☹ ☠ | SECONDARY |
| Final evaluation | ☺ < No. > | ☺ < No. > | < No. > ☠ in primary indicators < No. > ☠ in secondary indicators |
| | ☹ < No. > | ☹ < No. > | |
| | ☠ < No. > | ☠ < No. > | |

For Public activities

| Descriptor/Indicators | Applicant Evaluation | Competent body evaluation | Relevance |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| 1.1 Vision and mission | | | PRIMARY |
| 1.2 Commitment | | | PRIMARY |
| 2.1 Coastal zones sustainable development measures | | | PRIMARY |
| 2.2 Capacity building | | | PRIMARY |
| 2.3 Networking and knowledge sharing | | | PRIMARY |
| 2.4 Participatory approach/planning | | | PRIMARY |
| 2.5 Coherence between public and private Activities | | | PRIMARY |
| 2.6 Assessments | | | SECONDARY |
| 2.7 Financial engagement | | | SECONDARY |
| 3.1 Monitoring and observation | | | PRIMARY |
| 3.2 Database | | | SECONDARY |
| 3.3 Data sharing and transparency | | | PRIMARY |
| Final evaluation | < No. > < No. > < No. > | < No. > < No. > < No. > | < No. > in primary indicators < No. > in secondary indicators |

The initiative/activity has recorded a total number of:

- < No. > green traffic lights
- < No. > yellow traffic lights
- < No. > red traffic lights, of which
 - < No. > in primary indicators
 - < No. > in secondary indicators

According to the defined thresholds, the ICZM Label is *[rejected/approved/provisionally approved]*.

Instruction for the evaluator:

Please provide hereafter considerations for improvements and/or corrective actions requested or suggested.

If provisionally approved, please indicate a time frame for the implementation of specific actions to remedy the situation, beyond which a check and re-evaluation will be carried out to determine the final evaluation.

[MAX 2000 characters]

FOSTERING PARTNERSHIPS ACROSS THE ADRIATIC SEA

For coastal sustainability in Albania and Italy

CAMP Otranto is the first transboundary project since the launch of the Coastal Areas Management Programme (CAMP) in 1989. The project's main objective is to test the CAMP methodology at a transboundary scale in the Otranto Strait, including marine areas within and beyond national jurisdiction which affect both Albania and Italy regardless of different natural, juridical and socio-economic conditions. By tackling coastal and marine environmental challenges in this very sensitive part of the Mediterranean basin, the project contributes to the development of sustainable coastal management, sharing know-how and modelling best practice.

FOR ADDITIONAL RESOURCES AND DETAILS ON CAMP PROJECTS,
VISIT WWW.PAPRAC.ORG