




ICZM METHODOLOGICAL GUIDANCE TOWARDS REACHING GES



Coordination:	Marina Marković, PAP/RAC Daniela Addis, National Project Coordinator for Italy Ina Janushi and Rezart Kapedani, National Project Coordinators for Albania Tea Marasović, PAP/RAC
Authors:	Matteo Braidà, Daniele Paesani, Cecilia Bacchetti
Proofreading:	Alkemist studio LLC
Cover design:	Tessa Bachrach-Krištofić
Layout editing:	Old school S.P.
Cover photo:	© N. Russo

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the UNEP/MAP concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The report was prepared as part of the Transboundary CAMP Otranto project.

For citation:

UNEP/MAP – PAP/RAC (2023). ICZM Methodological Guidance towards Reaching GES. Priority Actions Programme / Regional Activity Centre (PAP/RAC), Split (Croatia). Pp. 18 + annexes

Table of contents

1. Introduction	1
1.1. Scope of the Present Work	1
1.2. Background and Reference Documents	1
1.3. DPSIR Approach	3
2. Analysis and Methods	4
2.1. Analysis Workflow	4
3. Analysis Methodology	6
3.1. Phase 1 – Assessment	6
3.1.1. Evaluation of Economic Activities	6
3.1.2. Environmental Pressure Evaluation	7
3.1.3. Environmental Status Evaluation	10
3.2. Phase 2 – Interactions	12
3.2.1. EA/EP Analysis	12
3.2.2. EP/SC Analysis	13
3.3. Phase 3 – Prioritisation	14
3.3.1. Transboundary Aspects	15
3.4. Reference Information	15
3.4.1. Summary of the Scoring System Employed	15
3.4.2. EOs, CIs and Descriptors	16
References	18

Supporting document: EIAT.xls

List of figures

Figure 1.	Matrix of Interactions as per UNEP/MED IG.24/22	2
Figure 2.	Architecture of the developed DPSIR approach.	4
Figure 3.	EA classification	6
Figure 4.	EA Table template	7
Figure 5.	EP classification	9
Figure 6.	EP Table template	10
Figure 7.	SC classification	11
Figure 8.	SC Table template	11
Figure 9.	PGC Matrix template	12
Figure 10.	EA/EP Matrix template	13
Figure 11.	EP/SC PIS Matrix	14
Figure 12.	Overview of the scoring system	15
Figure 13.	Scoring system values and ranges	16
Figure 14.	IMAP EOs – CIs and related MSFD descriptors	17

1. Introduction

1.1. Scope of the Present Work

This document aims to present Drivers-Pressures-State-Impacts-Responses (DPSIR) assessment methodology guidance for evaluating economic activities (drivers), environmental pressures and status components, along with the analysis and prioritisation of their interactions. The methodology was developed in the ambit of Integrated Coastal Zone Management (ICZM), on the basis of the principle described in the Methodological Guidance for Reaching a Good Environmental Status through ICZM, as part of the Common Regional Framework for Integrated Coastal Zone Management (CRF)[1]. The CRF represents the strategic and methodological framework for the application of ICZM Protocol principles in the Mediterranean, providing strategic orientations on the joint implementation of ICZM within the geographical coverage between the external limit of the territorial sea and the limit of the competent coastal units as defined by the Contracting Parties of the Barcelona Convention, using coordinated and harmonised approaches.

ICZM is an essential tool for the Barcelona Convention within the Mediterranean area, as it provides a commonly shared context with specific recommendations focusing on (a) the coherence of policies / strategic documents and orientation of actions, and (b) ways to strengthen integration and regional/sub-regional cooperation, also taking into consideration land-sea interactions and transboundary aspects.

In the context of the present work, a Matrix of Interactions, developed within the CRF, has been upgraded, linking the main relations between the Ecological Objectives (EOs) of the Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Programme (IMAP) and the driving economic activities, as well as the natural (coastal landscape, seascape and ecosystems) and cultural (cultural heritage) elements that are significant for coastal areas, according to the content of the ICZM Protocol. The assessment tool, developed for the application of the DPSIR assessment methodology, examines the entire coastal zone, with the aim of identifying and prioritising the most relevant interactions between EOs and elements of the ICZM Protocol. The tool was initially tested in the Otranto Strait area, with the aim of extending its application to other areas in the Mediterranean.

1.2. Background and Reference Documents

The present study has been developed in line with the approach reported in Phase A of the CRF Methodological Guidance [1]. In particular, the Matrix of Interactions contained in the CRF Methodological Guidance (Figure 2), provides high-level links between the elements of the ICZM Protocol and EOs, organised into four clusters: (1) Biodiversity, (2) Fisheries, (3) Coast and Hydrography, (4) Pollution and Litter. The matrix was developed as an assessment tool for supporting decision-making processes at different levels (regional, sub-regional, national and sub-national). Furthermore, the identification of the spatial and temporal (short, medium and long-term) scales involved represents an important aspect of the Phase A analysis stages.

Objectives of the CRF on ICZM		Ecological Objective (GES/EcAp)										
1. Sustainable Development and Integrity of the coastal zone		EO1: Biodiversity	EO2: Non-indigenous species	EO6: Sea-floor integrity	EO3: Commercial fish and shellfish	EO4: Food webs	EO7: Hydrographic conditions	EO8: Coastal ecosystems and landscapes	EO5: Eutrophication	EO9: Contaminants	EO10: Marine and coastal litter	EO11: Noise
2. Addressing natural hazards and the effects of natural disasters												
3. Achieving good governance												
Economic activities and natural and cultural elements of the ICZM Protocol	LANDWARD											
	Agriculture											
	Industry											
	Utilization of natural resources: mining											
	Urban sprawl											
	Coastal landscapes											
	Coastal forests and woods											
	Cultural heritage											
	INTERFACE											
	Infrastructures: ports, coastal defence and others											
	Energy infrastructures											
	Tourism, sporting, recreational activities											
	Util. of natural resources: desalination plants											
	Wetlands and estuaries											
	Dunes											
	Cultural heritage											
	Coastal erosion											
	SEAWARD											
	Fishing											
	Aquaculture											
	Tourism, sporting, recreational activities											
	Maritime activities: shipping											
	Maritime activities: offshore energy											
	Maritime activities: sand / mineral mining											
	Maritime activities: cables and pipelines											
	Marine habitats and species											
	Cultural heritage											
	ISLAND											
	Cultural heritage											
	Coastal erosion											

Figure 1. Matrix of Interactions as per UNEP/MED IG.24/22

Figure 2 shows the economic activities and the natural and cultural elements (ecosystems, landscapes and cultural heritage) that are significant for the coastal areas according to the ICZM Protocol. The elements are clustered into four ICZM zones, which represent a continuum throughout the coastal areas (landward coastal zone, land-sea interface, seaward coastal zone and islands). The considered elements of the ICZM Protocol are classified either as pressures (indicated in blue) or as states (indicated in black). EOs are listed and classified into four groups, identified by the corresponding colours.

Cell colours identify the relevance of the interactions between EOs and elements of the ICZM Protocol (red for high relevance, yellow for moderate relevance, blue for low relevance, while white represents an absence of interactions). The level of relevance should be evaluated considering the knowledge of both existing interactions and interactions that are expected in the future as a consequence of known strategic programmes and plans.

This general matrix shows the current understanding of interactions between ICZM elements and EOs at the scale of the entire Mediterranean (regional scale). Such an evaluation will change in response to specific dimensional, geographic and temporal conditions considered in the analysis. Therefore, the main aspects considered for the application of the matrix tool are the following:

1. Dimensional aspects, referring to the considered scale of analysis (e.g., regional, sub-regional, national or sub-national).
2. Geographic aspects, referring to the specific characteristic of the area under evaluation.
3. Temporal aspect, referring to the period of the analysis (short, medium or long-term).

In order to support the development of the present environmental assessment approach, a solid basis is represented by the information and methodological approach provided by MEDPOL, based on the DPSIR analysis, as described in the *Example of Overall Inter-*

relationships Between the IMAP and the DPSIR Framework Applied to the Coastal and Marine Ecosystem [2].

In order to further develop the current methodological approach, it was crucial to identify a general and common categorisation of the relevant DPSIR elements (economic activities, pressures, states and impacts) to be included in the analysis. In this regard, the reference documents for the proposed categorisation are listed below:

- *Mediterranean Quality Status Report 2017* of the Barcelona Convention [3]
- *Example of Overall Interrelationships Between the IMAP and the DPSIR Framework Applied to the Coastal and Marine Ecosystem*, UNEP/MED WG.463/Inf.9 [2]
- *Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance*, UNEP/MED WG.463/Inf.9 [4]
- *Significance of the CAMP Italy Project Compared to the Inter-Relations Between MSP, ICM, and LSI*, CAMP Italy Report [5]
- Marine Strategy Framework Directive – Annex III [6]
- Commission Decision (EU) 2017/ 848 of 17 May [7].

1.3. DPSIR Approach

The causal framework underlying the proposed Methodological Approach is represented by the DPSIR chain (Driving Forces, Pressures, State, Impact and Responses). The DPSIR framework was applied according to what is reported in Section 2.

The proposed methodology is intended as a supporting tool aiding the formulation of expert judgement, based on a flexible and modular DPSIR toolset for the evaluation and synthesis of environmental information, which is useful for the identification of the main causal links and interactions between Economic Drivers, Environmental Pressures and Status elements, providing a solid base from which operational responses can be derived and contextualised.

The proposed approach can be applied to different target areas with variable degrees of synthesis and increasing levels of geographical detail and/or magnification.

2. Analysis and Methods

This section provides a general overview of the DPSIR model on the basis of which the architecture and workflow of the methodology are developed. Detailed

and operative descriptions of the workflow and analysis steps to be undertaken by the Expert(s) are given in the following dedicated sections.




Figure 2. Architecture of the developed DPSIR approach.

The main concepts and elements of the methodological approach are hereby presented, along with a description of the main indicators and scores employed. The latter will be recalled in the following sections and their usage described accordingly.

2.1. Analysis Workflow

The assessment workflow is based on three main phases discussed below. These assessment steps are carried out relying on the provided Excel **Environmental Interactions Assessment Tool (EIAT)**.

Phase 1 – Assessment

In the assessment phase, the Expert(s) will proceed with the collection and analysis of all the available

information and data that is relevant to the identification and analysis of the main Economic Activities (EAs) as drivers, Environmental Pressures (EPs) and altered Status Components (SCs). These elements are framed using a quali-quantitative scoring system in the corresponding tables listed below:

1. **Economic Activities Table (EA Table)**, which provides an overview of the Economic Activities (EAs) present in the area.
2. **Environmental Pressure Table (EP Table)**, providing an overview of the Environmental Pressures affecting the area.
3. **Status Components Table (SC Table)**, describing the level of alteration of the Environmental Status Components (SC) with respect to a good environmental status (GES) for the area under assessment.

Phase 2 – Interactions

In the interaction analysis phase, the Expert(s) will deal with the study and evaluation of the potential interactions and causal links existing between the elements identified in Phase 1. The Interactions are examined in the following analysis steps.

1. **Economic Activities / Environmental Pressures Analysis (EA/EP Analysis)**, to evaluate the correlation between the Environmental Pressures present in the area and the underlying Economic Activities that generate them.
2. **Environmental Pressures / Status Components Analysis (EP/SC Analysis)**, examining the impact of the Environmental Pressures in terms of their correlation with the detected altered Status Components.

The purpose of the EA/EP Analysis is to study and trace the flow of pressures affecting the environment, and to identify the EAs that most significantly generate them. The Expert(s) will analyse the relationships between EPs and the underlying EAs through the use of specific indicators and scores, studying the EAs that are most significant for each EP category, and correlating the information with the EP assessment carried out in Phase 1. This step will allow EAs to be compared, by providing an estimation of their overall pressure output level.

The purpose of the EP/SC Analysis is to evaluate how the effects of EPs in the area can be linked to the detected SC alteration levels. These potential environmental impacts are examined as possible causal links between a given altered SC and the detected EP. Whenever possible, their relative significance is evaluated by the Expert(s) following dedicated assessment stages, based on indicators, references and principles provided by the methodology. Such an approach provides a guide to the formulation of expert judgements, aiming to achieve the highest degree of information and analysis uniformity.

As a result, Phase 2 analysis will allow the profile EA→EP→SC flow of interactions to be used in the later analysis and operational recommendation steps.

Phase 3 – Prioritisation

During the Prioritisation Phase, the Expert(s) will deal with the synthesis and prioritisation of the interactions analysed in the previous steps. By tracing back the EA→EP→SC flow of interactions, the effects of EAs – and their combination in terms of pressures and their impact on the Status components – can be synthesised, classified and prioritised in terms of their environmental relevance. This will allow us to provide context to the Operational Recommendations and Responses. Within Phase 3, the Expert(s) will also perform an analysis of any transboundary effects of EPs that might be present.

3. Analysis Methodology

3.1.

Phase 1 – Assessment

3.1.1.

Evaluation of Economic Activities

The EA classification was carried out using as a starting point the EA classification contained in CAMP Italy – Annex 38, which was derived from the MSFD – Annex III. On this basis, a comparative analysis between the latter and the matrix contained in the CRF was carried out, resulting in the development of the Unified Classification, with the aim of improving the model flexibility and its capability to bridge the two classification

systems. The links between the CRF and MSFD identified during the analysis are also presented in Figure 3. Figure 3 presents the classification of Economic Activities, including 10 main sectors (A1-A10) and the relative categories of EAs. The EA acronym hereby employed refers to a specific EA category (e.g. “Fishing: harvesting” or “Energy infrastructure: renewable”).

ECONOMIC ACTIVITES CLASSIFICATION				
TYPE	ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES FROM MSFD ANNEX III	CORRESPONDING CRF-ICZM CLASSIFICATION	UNIFIED CLASSIFICATION	CODE
Physical restructuring of rivers, coastline or seabed (water management)	Land claim	Urban sprawl Agricultural uses	Land take for urban industrial and agricultural uses	A1.1
	Canalisation and other watercourse modifications	Infrastructures: ports, coastal defence and others	Infrastructure related to coastal/watercourse morphology alteration (dams, canalisation, trenching, ports)	A1.2
	Coastal defence and flood protection	Infrastructures: ports, coastal defence and others	Infrastructure for coastal resilience/defence and flood protection	A1.3
	Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)	-	Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)	A1.4
	Restructuring of seabed morphology, including dredging and depositing of materials	Maritime activities: sand / mineral mining - Utilization of natural resources: mining. Infrastructures: ports, coastal defence and others.	Utilization of natural resources: dredging and depositing (coastal and maritime areas)	A1.5
Extraction of non-living resources	Extraction of minerals (rock, metal ores, gravel, sand, shell)	Maritime activities: sand / mineral mining - Utilization of natural resources: mining	Utilization of natural resources: extraction/mining of sand, gravel, rocks, minerals	A2.1
	Extraction of oil and gas, including infrastructure	Energy infrastructures	Utilization of natural resources: extraction of oil and gas and relative infrastructures	A2.2
	Extraction of salt	Util. of natural resources: desalination plants	Utilization of natural resources: desalination plants	A2.3
	Extraction of water	Util. of natural resources	Utilization of natural resources: water extraction	A2.4
Production of energy	Renewable energy generation (wind, wave and tidal power), including infrastructure	Energy infrastructures	Energy infrastructures: renewables	A3.1
	Non-renewable energy generation	Energy infrastructures	Energy infrastructures: non-renewables	A3.2
	Transmission of electricity and communications (cables)	Maritime activities: cables and pipelines	Energy transmission (including cables and pipelines)	A3.3
Extraction of living resources	Fish and shellfish harvesting (professional, recreational)	Fishing	Fish and shellfish harvesting	A4.1
	Fish and shellfish processing	Fishing	Fish and shellfish processing	A4.2
	Marine plant harvesting	-	Marine plant harvesting	A4.3
	Hunting and collecting for other purposes	Bird hunting	Hunting, collecting and predator control (including birds)	A4.4
Cultivation of living resources	Aquaculture – marine, including infrastructure	Aquaculture	Marine aquaculture	A5.1
	Aquaculture – freshwater	Aquaculture	Freshwater aquaculture	A5.2
	Agriculture	Agriculture	Agriculture	A5.3
	Forestry	-	Forestry (silviculture)	A5.4
Transport	Transport infrastructure and ports	Infrastructures: ports, coastal defence and others	Transport infrastructures (including ports)	A6.1
	Transport – shipping	Maritime activities: shipping	Transport – shipping	A6.2
	Transport – air	-	Transport – air	A6.3
	Transport – land	-	Transport – land	A6.4
Urban and industrial uses	Urban uses	-	Urban uses	A7.1
	Industrial uses	Industry	Industry and industrial uses	A7.2
	Waste treatment and disposal	Infrastructures: ports, coastal defence and others	Waste treatment and disposal infrastructure	A7.3
Tourism and leisure	Tourism and leisure infrastructure	Tourism, sporting, recreational activities	Tourism, sporting, recreational (infrastructure)	A8.1
	Tourism and leisure activities	Tourism, sporting, recreational activities	Tourism, sporting, recreational (activities)	A8.2
Security/defence	Military operations (subject to Article 2(2))	Infrastructures: ports, coastal defence and others	Military operations and infrastructures	A9.1
Education and research	Research, survey and educational activities	-	Research, survey and educational activities	A10.1

Figure 3. EA classification

In order to classify the EAs present in the area, the Expert will at first identify which EA sub-categories are present in the area, by marking them with a “p” flag (implying their presence) in the **EIAT – EA Table** sheet (whose template is shown in Figure 4).

EA TABLE				
TYPE	ECONOMIC ACTIVITY	CODE	RLP	DATA GAPS
Physical restructuring of rivers, coastline or seabed (water management)	Land take for urban industrial and agricultural uses	A1.1		
	Infrastructure related to coastal/watercourse morphology alteration (dams, canalisation, trenching, ports)	A1.2		
	Infrastructure for coastal resilience/defence and flood protection	A1.3		
	Offshore structures (other than for oil/gas/renewables)	A1.4		
	Utilization of natural resources: dredging and depositing (coastal and maritime areas)	A1.5		
Extraction of non-living resources	Utilization of natural resources: extraction/mining of sand, gravel, rocks, minerals	A2.1		
	Utilization of natural resources: extraction of oil and gas and relative infrastructures	A2.2		
	Utilization of natural resources: desalination plants	A2.3		
	Utilization of natural resources: water extraction	A2.4		
Production of energy	Energy infrastructures: renewables	A3.1		
	Energy infrastructures: non-renewables	A3.2		
	Energy transmission (including cables and pipelines)	A3.3		
Extraction of living resources	Fish and shellfish harvesting	A4.1		
	Fish and shellfish processing	A4.2		
	Marine plant harvesting	A4.3		
	Hunting, collecting and predator control (including birds)	A4.4		
Cultivation of living resources	Marine aquaculture	A5.1		
	Freshwater aquaculture	A5.2		
	Agriculture	A5.3		
	Forestry (silviculture)	A5.4		
Transport	Transport infrastructures (including ports)	A6.1		
	Transport – shipping	A6.2		
	Transport – air	A6.3		
	Transport – land	A6.4		
Urban and industrial uses	Urban uses	A7.1		
	Industry and industrial uses	A7.2		
	Waste treatment and disposal infrastructure	A7.3		
Tourism and leisure	Tourism, sporting, recreational (infrastructure)	A8.1		
	Tourism, sporting, recreational (activities)	A8.2		
Security/defence	Military operations and infrastructures	A9.1		
Education and research	Research, survey and educational activities	A10.1		

Figure 4. EA Table template

For each identified EA, the Expert needs to extract from the available data – and keep track of – the following elements to be used in later analysis phases:

- Composition, distribution and characteristics of the specific EA category in the area (e.g. types of plants/sub-activities present in the area); and
- Spectrum of the EPs generated by the analysed EA category and their localisation with respect to the 4 ICZM zones.

After determining this primary information, in the EA Table, the Expert(s) can provide an indication of the relevance of each EA with respect to the reference geographical context, using a quali-quantitative Relative level of Presence (RLP) score, using the following values:

- RPL = 0 → EA not present
- RPL = 10 → very low presence
- RPL = 20 → low presence
- RPL = 30 → moderate presence
- RPL = 40 → high presence
- RPL = 50 → very high presence

3.1.2. Environmental Pressure Evaluation

The proposed Pressure classification was carried out using the classification contained in Annex X (*Common Typology of Pressures on the Natural Environment Resulting from Anthropogenic Activities and their Interlinking Impacts*) of the *Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance*, UNEP/MED WG.463/Inf.9 document [4], as a starting point. On this basis, a

comparative analysis between the latter and the pressure classification contained in MSFD – Annex III [6] and GES Decision 848 [7] was carried out, resulting in the developed Unified Classification, with the aim of improving the model flexibility and its capability of bridging the two classification systems. The EP acronym hereby employed refers to a specific EP category (e.g. “Input of nutrients – including organic matter” or “Input of litter – solid waste matter, micro-sized litter”).

The Expert will rely on the **EIAT – EP Table** tool for the EP analysis (a template of the EP Table is presented in Figure 6). The proposed classification table for Pressures is presented in Figure 5, including the 5 main pressure types (P1-P5) and relative categories. References to the relevant EOs and the main IMAF CIs are also shown. The details of the EOs and of the corresponding CIs referenced in the analysis are shown in Figure 5 (as per WG.463/Inf.9 [4]). Pressure classification is linked to the relevant IMAF indicators, as shown in Figure 5, so that the expert involved in the analysis will be able to refer to such CIs, when applicable. As shown in Figure 6, the classification of the EP levels is split across the 4 ICZM zones.

TYPE	CODE	UNIFIED PRESSURE CLASSIFICATION	CORRESPONDING PRESSURES FROM IMAP (IG.22/Inf.7-2016)	CORRESPONDING PRESSURES FROM MSFD ANNEX III	REFERENCE IMAP INDICATORS	REFERENCE MSFD CRITERIA	REFERENCE PRESSURE- BASED EOs	POTENTIALLY AFFECTED STATUS-BASED EOs
Physical	P1.1	Physical damage/disturbance and morphological alteration (substrate, sea floor, coast, land, shoreline, including erosion/accretion)	Alteration of sea-floor/water body morphology Change of sea-floor substrate Disturbance/damage to sea-floor	Physical disturbance to seabed (temporary or reversible)	-	DC1, DC2, DC3	-	E06, E08
	P1.2	Extraction of sea-floor and land (soil and subsoil)	Extraction of sea-floor and subsoil minerals (e.g. sand, gravel, rock, oil, gas)		-	DC1	-	
Hydrological	P2.1	Changes to hydrological conditions (e.g. wave action, currents, salinity, temperature, input/extraction of water)	Water discharges (with/without contaminants) Water movement changes Water extraction	Physical loss (due to permanent change of seabed substrate or morphology and to extraction of seabed substrate)	C15	D7C1, D7C2	E07	E01, E03-07, E04, E06, E08
	P3.1	Input of sound	Input of sound		C26, C27	D11C1, D11C2	E011	
Energy	P3.2	Input of electromagnetic fields or light	Input of electromagnetic and seismic waves	Input of other forms of energy (including electromagnetic fields, light and heat)	-	-	-	E01, E03-07, E04
	P3.3	Input of seismic waves	Input of light		-	-	-	
Biological	P4.1	Translocation of (native) species, introduction/spread of non-indigenous or genetically modified species	Translocation of (native) species	Input of genetically modified species and translocation of native species	C6	-	E02	E01, E03-07, E04
	P4.2	Introduction of microbial pathogens	Introduction of genetically modified species		C21	D2C1, D2C2, D2C3	-	
Chemical, pollution, litter	P4.3	Removal of species (target/non-target, selective extraction)	Introduction of microbial pathogens	Extraction of, or mortality/injury to, wild species (by commercial and recreational fishing and other activities)	C8 to C12	D3C1, D3C2, D3C3	E08	E01, E03-07
	P4.4	Disturbance, injury and death to species	Removal of species (targeted, non-targeted)		C9 to C12	D6C3, D7C2, D2C3	-	
Chemical, pollution, litter	P4.5	Cultivation/artificialisation of natural habitat	Injury/death to species	Disturbance of species (e.g. when they breed, rest and feed) due to human presence	-	-	-	E01, E06, E08
	P5.1	Input of nutrients and organic matter (diffuse/point sources, atmospheric deposition)	Disturbance of species		C17 to C21, C13, C14	D5C1, D5C2, D5C3, D5C4, D5C5, D5C6, D5C7, D5C8	E09, E05	
Chemical, pollution, litter	P5.2	Input of contaminants (synthetic, non-synthetic, radionuclides) – diffuse/point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events	Nutrient enrichment (N, P, organic matter)	Input of other substances (e.g. synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) – diffuse sources, point sources, acute events	C17 to C21	D8C1, D8C2, D8C3, D8C4, D9C1	E09	E01, E03-07, E04, E06, E08
	P5.3	Input of litter (solid waste matter, micro-sized litter)	Input of contaminants (synthetic substances, non-synthetic substances, radionuclides) – diffuse sources, point sources, acute events		C22 to C24	D10C1, D10C2, D10C3, D10C4	E010	
Chemical, pollution, litter	P5.4	Input of CO2 and greenhouse gases	Input of litter (solid waste matter)	Input of litter (solid waste matter, including micro-sized litter)	-	-	-	
	P5.4	Input of CO2 and greenhouse gases	Input of CO2 and other greenhouse gases		-	-	-	

Figure 5. EP classification

EP TABLE								
TYPE	ENVIRONMENTAL PRESSURE	CODE	EPL				DATA GAPS	
Physical	Physical damage/disturbance and morphological alteration (substrate, sea-floor, coast, land, shoreline, including erosion/accretion)	P1.1						
	Extraction of sea-floor and land (soil and subsoil)	P1.2						
Hydrological	Changes to hydrological conditions (e.g. wave action, currents, salinity, temperature, input/extraction of water)	P2.1						
Energy	Input of sound	P3.1						
	Input of electromagnetic fields or light	P3.2						
	Input of seismic waves	P3.3						
	Input of heat	P3.4						
Biological	Translocation of (native) species, introduction/spread of non-indigenous or genetically modified species	P4.1						
	Introduction of microbial pathogens	P4.2						
	Removal of species (target/non-target, selective extraction)	P4.3						
	Disturbance, injury and death to species	P4.4						
	Cultivation/artificialisation of natural habitat	P4.5						
Chemical, pollution, litter	Input of nutrients and organic matter (diffuse/point sources, atmospheric deposition)	P5.1						
	Input of contaminants (synthetic, non-synthetic, radionuclides) – diffuse/point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events	P5.2						
	Input of litter (solid waste matter, micro-sized litter)	P5.3						
	Input of CO ₂ and greenhouse gases	P5.4						
			LW	IN	SW	IS		
			ICZM ZONES					

Figure 6. EP Table template

In the EP Table, a quali-quantitative Environmental Pressure Level (EPL) score is used to quantify the intensity of EPs affecting the area. On the basis of the available data and reports, the Expert will assign an EPL score of 0 to 50 according to the following quali-quantitative scale:

- EPL = 0 → no pressure,
- EPL = 10 → very low pressure intensity,
- EPL = 20 → low level of pressure intensity,
- EPL = 30 → moderate level of pressure intensity,
- EPL = 40 → severe level of pressure intensity,
- EPL = 50 → very severe level of pressure intensity.

3.1.3. Environmental Status Evaluation

The main elements embedded in the SC classifications are the EOs [4] and GES Decision 848 [7]. The degree of good-status alteration was framed on the basis of the 5 (status) ecological objectives of the IMA: EO1, EO3, EO4, EO6 and EO8. The classification table for SC analysis is presented in Figure 7, along with the relevant Common Indicators and Descriptions.

STATUS COMPONENTS CLASSIFICATION						
CODE	STATUS COMPONENTS	DESCRIPTION	REFERENCE EOs	REFERENCE INDICATORS/CRITERIA		
				IMAP	MSFD	DESCRIPTION
S1	Biodiversity	Biological diversity is maintained or enhanced. The quality and occurrence of coastal and marine habitats and the distribution and abundance of coastal and marine species are in line with prevailing physiographic, hydrographic, geographic and climatic conditions.	EO1	CI1		Habitat distributional range (EO1) to also consider habitat extent as a relevant attribute
				CI2		Condition of the habitat typical species and communities
				CI3		Species distributional range (EO1 related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles and coastal protected species)
				CI4		Population abundance of selected species (EO1, related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles and coastal protected species)
				CI5		Population demographic characteristics (EO1, e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity rates, survival/mortality rates related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles and coastal protected species)
S2	Marine and coastal food webs and fish stocks	Alterations to components of marine and coastal food webs caused by resource extraction or human-induced environmental changes do not have long-term adverse effects on food web dynamics and related viability	EO4	-	D4C1	The diversity (species composition and their relative abundance) of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures
				-	D4C2	The balance of total abundance between the trophic guilds is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures.
				-	D4C3	The size distribution of individuals across the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures
				-	D4C4	Productivity of the trophic guild is not adversely affected due to anthropogenic pressures (to be used in support of criterion D4C2, where necessary)
		Populations of selected commercially exploited fish and shellfish are within biologically safe limits, exhibiting a population age and size distribution that is indicative of a healthy stock	EO3	CI7		Spawning stock Biomass
S3	Sea-floor and coastal integrity		EO6	-	D6C4	The extent of loss of the habitat type, resulting from anthropogenic pressures, does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area
				-	D6C5	The extent of adverse effects from anthropogenic pressures on the condition of the habitat type, including alteration to its biotic and abiotic structure and its functions (e.g. its typical species composition and their relative abundance, absence of particularly sensitive or fragile species or species providing a key function, size structure of species), does not exceed a specified proportion of the natural extent of the habitat type in the assessment area.
S4	Coastal ecosystems, landscapes, seascape coastal wetlands, estuaries, coastal forest and wood, dunes	The natural dynamics of coastal areas are maintained and coastal ecosystems and landscapes are preserved	EO8	CI16		Length of coastline subject to physical disturbance due to the influence of man-made structures
				CI25		Land use change

Figure 7. SC classification

The Expert will rely on the **EIAT – SC Table** tool for the SC analysis (a template of the SC Table is presented in Figure 8). The SC classification provides a comprehensive snapshot of the environmental status

and its altered components, along with the corresponding EOs and CIs involved. As shown in Figure 8, the classification of the SC alteration levels is split across the 4 ICZM zones.

STATUS COMPONENTS TABLE							
STATUS COMPONENTS					SAL		
S1	Biodiversity		EO1				
S2	Marine and coastal food webs		EO4, EO3				
S3	Sea-floor and coastal integrity		EO6				
S4	Coastal ecosystems and landscapes		EO8				
					LW	IN	SW
					IS		
					ICZM ZONES		

Figure 8. SC Table template

In the SC Table, a quali-quantitative Status Alteration Level (SAL) score is used to classify the alteration level of each SC with respect to GES. On the basis of the available data and reports, the Expert will rank each of the analysed SCs with a SAL, with reference to the following values:

- SAL = 0 → no alteration,
- SAL = 10 → very low alteration,
- SAL = 20 → low alteration,
- SAL = 30 → moderate alteration,
- SAL = 40 → severe alteration,
- SAL = 50 → very severe alteration (with respect to GES).

3.2. Phase 2 – Interactions

3.2.1. EA/EP Analysis

Having completed the Phase 1 – EA assessment step, and having identified the spectrum of EPs generated by each EA, the Expert(s) will rely on a quali-quantitative **Pressure Generation Capability (PGC) score** to qualify the ability of a given EA to generate a specific EP. The Expert(s) will use the PGC scores to populate the **PGC Matrix**, which quantifies how strongly a particular EP is correlated to each given underlying EA. A template of the **EIAT – PGC Matrix** sheet to be used by the Expert(s) is shown in Figure 9.

ICZM ZONES		LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW				IN				SW				IS				LW</			
------------	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	----	--	--	--	------	--	--	--

1. Identification of the spectrum of pressures generated by each EA (as per Phase 1 – EA Table).
2. Identification of the distribution of such pressures in the 4 ICZM zones.
3. Quantification, for each pressure type and ICZM zone, of the EA/EP correlation.

After assigning PGC scores for each row of the EA/EP Matrix, the EIAT automatically computes the EA/EP Matrix. The **EIAT – EA/EP Matrix** (Figure 10) explodes the EP Table, identifying the individual contributions due to the EAs present in the area, whose cumulation

results in the spectrum of EPL scored in Phase 1 – EP Table. The values presented in the EA/EP Matrix are (specific) Environmental Pressure Level (EPL) scores, which are used to identify the individual contributions of all EAs with values in the 0-50 range.

The EA/EP Matrix also reports and compares the total EPL scores for each EA, as an estimation of their overall pressure output level. The latter information will be referred to during the subsequent prioritisation and operational response steps.

EA	EP	EA/EP MATRIX
EA1	EP1	
EA1	EP2	
EA1	EP3	
EA1	EP4	
EA1	EP5	
EA1	EP6	
EA1	EP7	
EA1	EP8	
EA1	EP9	
EA1	EP10	
EA1	EP11	
EA1	EP12	
EA1	EP13	
EA1	EP14	
EA1	EP15	
EA1	EP16	
EA1	EP17	
EA1	EP18	
EA1	EP19	
EA1	EP20	
EA1	EP21	
EA1	EP22	
EA1	EP23	
EA1	EP24	
EA1	EP25	
EA1	EP26	
EA1	EP27	
EA1	EP28	
EA1	EP29	
EA1	EP30	
EA1	EP31	
EA1	EP32	
EA1	EP33	
EA1	EP34	
EA1	EP35	
EA1	EP36	
EA1	EP37	
EA1	EP38	
EA1	EP39	
EA1	EP40	
EA1	EP41	
EA1	EP42	
EA1	EP43	
EA1	EP44	
EA1	EP45	
EA1	EP46	
EA1	EP47	
EA1	EP48	
EA1	EP49	
EA1	EP50	
EA1	EP51	
EA1	EP52	
EA1	EP53	
EA1	EP54	
EA1	EP55	
EA1	EP56	
EA1	EP57	
EA1	EP58	
EA1	EP59	
EA1	EP60	
EA1	EP61	
EA1	EP62	
EA1	EP63	
EA1	EP64	
EA1	EP65	
EA1	EP66	
EA1	EP67	
EA1	EP68	
EA1	EP69	
EA1	EP70	
EA1	EP71	
EA1	EP72	
EA1	EP73	
EA1	EP74	
EA1	EP75	
EA1	EP76	
EA1	EP77	
EA1	EP78	
EA1	EP79	
EA1	EP80	
EA1	EP81	
EA1	EP82	
EA1	EP83	
EA1	EP84	
EA1	EP85	
EA1	EP86	
EA1	EP87	
EA1	EP88	
EA1	EP89	
EA1	EP90	
EA1	EP91	
EA1	EP92	
EA1	EP93	
EA1	EP94	
EA1	EP95	
EA1	EP96	
EA1	EP97	
EA1	EP98	
EA1	EP99	
EA1	EP100	
EA1	EP101	
EA1	EP102	
EA1	EP103	
EA1	EP104	
EA1	EP105	
EA1	EP106	
EA1	EP107	
EA1	EP108	
EA1	EP109	
EA1	EP110	
EA1	EP111	
EA1	EP112	
EA1	EP113	
EA1	EP114	
EA1	EP115	
EA1	EP116	
EA1	EP117	
EA1	EP118	
EA1	EP119	
EA1	EP120	
EA1	EP121	
EA1	EP122	
EA1	EP123	
EA1	EP124	
EA1	EP125	
EA1	EP126	
EA1	EP127	
EA1	EP128	
EA1	EP129	
EA1	EP130	
EA1	EP131	
EA1	EP132	
EA1	EP133	
EA1	EP134	
EA1	EP135	
EA1	EP136	
EA1	EP137	
EA1	EP138	
EA1	EP139	
EA1	EP140	
EA1	EP141	
EA1	EP142	
EA1	EP143	
EA1	EP144	
EA1	EP145	
EA1	EP146	
EA1	EP147	
EA1	EP148	
EA1	EP149	
EA1	EP150	
EA1	EP151	
EA1	EP152	
EA1	EP153	
EA1	EP154	
EA1	EP155	
EA1	EP156	
EA1	EP157	
EA1	EP158	
EA1	EP159	
EA1	EP160	
EA1	EP161	
EA1	EP162	
EA1	EP163	
EA1	EP164	
EA1	EP165	
EA1	EP166	
EA1	EP167	
EA1	EP168	
EA1	EP169	
EA1	EP170	
EA1	EP171	
EA1	EP172	
EA1	EP173	
EA1	EP174	
EA1	EP175	
EA1	EP176	
EA1	EP177	
EA1	EP178	
EA1	EP179	
EA1	EP180	
EA1	EP181	
EA1	EP182	
EA1	EP183	
EA1	EP184	
EA1	EP185	
EA1	EP186	
EA1	EP187	
EA1	EP188	
EA1	EP189	
EA1	EP190	
EA1	EP191	
EA1	EP192	
EA1	EP193	
EA1	EP194	
EA1	EP195	
EA1	EP196	
EA1	EP197	
EA1	EP198	
EA1	EP199	
EA1	EP200	
EA1	EP201	
EA1	EP202	
EA1	EP203	
EA1	EP204	
EA1	EP205	
EA1	EP206	
EA1	EP207	
EA1	EP208	
EA1	EP209	
EA1	EP210	
EA1	EP211	
EA1	EP212	
EA1	EP213	
EA1	EP214	
EA1	EP215	
EA1	EP216	
EA1	EP217	
EA1	EP218	
EA1	EP219	
EA1	EP220	
EA1	EP221	
EA1	EP222	
EA1	EP223	
EA1	EP224	
EA1	EP225	
EA1	EP226	
EA1	EP227	
EA1	EP228	
EA1	EP229	
EA1	EP230	
EA1	EP231	
EA1	EP232	
EA1	EP233	
EA1	EP234	
EA1	EP235	
EA1	EP236	
EA1	EP237	
EA1	EP238	
EA1	EP239	
EA1	EP240	
EA1	EP241	
EA1	EP242	
EA1	EP243	
EA1	EP244	
EA1	EP245	
EA1	EP246	
EA1	EP247	
EA1	EP248	
EA1	EP249	
EA1	EP250	
EA1	EP251	
EA1	EP252	
EA1	EP253	
EA1	EP254	
EA1	EP255	
EA1	EP256	
EA1	EP257	
EA1	EP258	
EA1	EP259	
EA1	EP260	
EA1	EP261	
EA1	EP262	
EA1	EP263	
EA1	EP264	
EA1	EP265	
EA1	EP266	
EA1	EP267	
EA1	EP268	
EA1	EP269	
EA1	EP270	
EA1	EP271	
EA1	EP272	
EA1	EP273	
EA1	EP274	
EA1	EP275	
EA1	EP276	
EA1	EP277	
EA1	EP278	
EA1	EP279	
EA1	EP280	
EA1	EP281	
EA1	EP282	
EA1	EP283	
EA1	EP284	
EA1	EP285	
EA1	EP286	
EA1	EP287	
EA1	EP288	
EA1	EP289	
EA1	EP290	
EA1	EP291	
EA1	EP292	
EA1	EP293	
EA1	EP294	
EA1	EP295	
EA1	EP296	
EA1	EP297	
EA1	EP298	
EA1	EP299	
EA1	EP300	
EA1	EP301	
EA1	EP302	
EA1	EP303	
EA1	EP304	
EA1	EP305	
EA1	EP306	
EA1	EP307	
EA1	EP308	
EA1	EP309	
EA1	EP310	
EA1	EP311	
EA1	EP312	
EA1	EP313	
EA1	EP314	
EA1	EP315	
EA1	EP316	
EA1	EP317	
EA1	EP318	
EA1	EP319	
EA1	EP320	
EA1	EP321	
EA1	EP322	
EA1	EP323	
EA1	EP324	
EA1	EP325	
EA1	EP326	
EA1	EP327	
EA1	EP328	
EA1	EP329	
EA1	EP330	
EA1	EP331	
EA1	EP332	
EA1	EP333	
EA1	EP334	
EA1	EP335	
EA1	EP336	
EA1	EP337	
EA1	EP338	
EA1	EP339	
EA1	EP340	
EA1	EP341	
EA1	EP342	
EA1	EP343	
EA1	EP344	
EA1	EP345	
EA1	EP346	
EA1	EP347	
EA1	EP348	
EA1	EP349	
EA1	EP350	
EA1	EP351	
EA1	EP352	
EA1	EP353	
EA1	EP354	
EA1	EP355	
EA1	EP356	
EA1	EP357	
EA1	EP358	
EA1	EP359	
EA1	EP360	
EA1	EP361	
EA1	EP362	
EA1	EP363	
EA1	EP364	
EA1	EP365	
EA1	EP366	
EA1	EP367	
EA1	EP368	
EA1	EP369	
EA1	EP370	
EA1	EP371	
EA1	EP372	
EA1	EP373	
EA1	EP374	
EA1	EP375	
EA1	EP376	
EA1	EP377	
EA1	EP378	
EA1	EP379	
EA1	EP380	
EA1	EP381	
EA1	EP382	
EA1	EP383	
EA1	EP384	
EA1	EP385	
EA1	EP386	
EA1	EP387	
EA1	EP388	
EA1	EP389	
EA1	EP390	
EA1	EP391	
EA1	EP392	
EA1	EP393	
EA1	EP394	
EA1	EP395	
EA1	EP396	

						EP/SC PIS MATRIX															
						STATUS RDA															
						S1				S2				S3				S4			
						LW	IN	SW	IS	LW	IN	SW	IS	LW	IN	SW	IS	LW	IN	SW	IS
						↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓	↓
TYPE	PRESSURE	CODE	EPL																		
			LW	IN	SW	IS															
Physical	Physical damage/disturbance and morphological alteration	P1.1					→														
	Extraction of sea-floor and land (soil and subsoil)	P1.2					→														
Hydrological	Changes to hydrological conditions	P2.1					→														
	Input of sound	P3.1					→														
Energy	Input of electromagnetic fields or light	P3.2					→														
	Input of seismic waves	P3.3					→														
	Input of heat	P3.4					→														
Biological	Translocation of (native) species, introduction/spread of non-indigenous or genetically modified species	P4.1					→														
	Introduction of microbial pathogens	P4.2					→														
	Removal of species (target/non-target, selective extraction)	P4.3					→														
	Disturbance, injury and death to species	P4.4					→														
	Cultivation/artificialisation of natural habitat	P4.5					→														
Chemical, pollution, litter	Input of nutrients and organic matter (diffuse/point sources, atmospheric deposition)	P5.1					→														
	Input of contaminants – diffuse/point sources, atmospheric deposition, acute events	P5.2					→														
	Input of litter (solid waste matter, micro-sized litter)	P5.3					→														
	Input of CO2 and greenhouse gases	P5.4					→														

Figure 11. EP/SC PIS Matrix

The EP/SC analysis involves the following matrices and scoring system.

Pressure Impact Score (PIS). PISs examine how strongly a detected EP can impact a given SC. PISs are assigned by the Expert(s) to provide an indication of the strength of each EP/SC correlation under examination, according to the following score:

- PIS = 0 → no EP/SC correlation
- PIS = 10 → very low relevance of the EP/SC correlation
- PIS = 20 → low relevance of the EP/SC correlation
- PIS = 30 → moderate relevance of the EP/SC correlation
- PIS = 40 → high relevance of the EP/SC correlation
- PIS = 50 → very high relevance of the EP/SC correlation.

EIAT – EP/SC PIS Matrix. In the EIAT – PIS Matrix, the Expert(s) will examine possible causal links between the altered SCs and the detected EPs, relying on the usage of PIS values to identify and evaluate all possible EP/SC interactions. PIS scores are listed in the EIAT – PIS Matrix. A template PIS Matrix is shown in Figure 11. PIS scores are assigned for each of the four ICZM zones.

The Expert(s) will rely on the following two-step approach for the EA/EP correlation analysis:

1. **Preliminary cross-check.** In the PIS Matrix, for each EP/SC pair, the Expert(s) should cross-check the coherence of each altered SC (and the composition of the relative indicators) with each EP (and sub-pressure spectrum). The cross-check should verify

coherence in terms of the spatial localisation of the EP and SC alteration, along with the plausibility of their causal interaction. In the absence of dedicated data (allowing the direct identification of such a causal links), it is recommended that the Expert refers to the general list of potential impacts presented in the MEDPOL Table [2]. If the EP/SC pair under examination passes the cross-check and qualifies as a possible correlation, the Expert(s) should keep track of this outcome by marking the corresponding cell of the PIS matrix with a “p” flag (implying the plausibility of the link).

2. **Pressure Impact Score.** For the EP/SC pairs flagged in the previous steps – whenever possible in relation to the availability and applicability of specific data – the Expert(s) can override the “p” flag with an appropriate PIS score, according to the score values listed before.

3.3. Phase 3 – Prioritisation

During the Prioritisation Phase, the Experts(s) will deal with the synthesis and prioritisation of the main interactions analysed in the previous steps. By tracing back the EA→EP→SC flow of interactions, the effects of the EAs and EPs can be synthesised, classified and prioritised in terms of their environmental relevance and their impact on SCs. It is subsequently possible to trace which pressures and EAs are more strongly linked to a given status component alteration, by relying on the previously used scores and matrices. The following

prioritisation schemes can be employed, as detailed in the EIAT.

Prioritisation of EAs with respect to EPs. EAs can be listed in terms of their relative EP generation capability, ranked by their aggregated EPL scores (detailed in the above EA/EP Matrix), as an indication of their overall pressure output level.

Prioritisation of EAs with respect to a given EP. EAs are ranked on the basis of their EA-specific EPL score for a given EP as presented in the EA/EP Matrix.

Prioritisation of EPs. EPs are prioritised on the basis of their EPL score as presented in the above EP Table.

Prioritisation of altered SCs. Altered SCs are prioritised on the basis of their SAL score, as presented in the SC Table.

Prioritisation of EPs with respect to a given SC. For any given SC, EPs can be ranked with respect to their PIS value (detailed in the above SC/EP Matrix), in terms of their relative impact. In the absence of dedicated data allowing the direct identification of PIS scores (all plausible interactions are marked as “p” and no PIS value is provided), the prioritisation scheme falls back to the “Prioritisation of EPs” (all EP/SC links are assumed to be equally relevant).

Prioritisation of EAs with respect to a given SC. EAs can be ranked, given the spectrum of generated EPs, in terms of their relative impact on any given SC. This prioritisation is carried out on the basis of the PGC Matrix and PIS values, as detailed in the EIAT.

On the basis of the aforementioned prioritisation schemes, the Expert(s) will contextualise the identification of the most critical paths relative to the EA→EP→SC flow of interactions, and the understanding of their environmental relevance. The analysis of the

critical paths should be object of a dedicated short written comment elaborated by the Expert(s) as a starting point for the operational recommendations.

3.3.1. Transboundary Aspects

To correctly assess the presence and relevance of potential transboundary aspects, the following key characteristics should be investigated among the analysed DPSIR elements:

- The presence of EAs with an intrinsic transnational scope (e.g., marine transport or pipelines) or EAs with a localisation close to or beyond/across transnational borders (e.g., offshore plants); and
- The presence of EAs with the potential to generate EPs capable of diffusing, propagating, or acting at a transnational level (e.g., input of contaminants, marine litter/micro-litter).

Taking into consideration these two key characteristics, the presence of exogenous inputs of EP – with respect to the assessed area should be investigated. On the contrary, the potential outward diffusion and impact of an EP detected and localised within the bounds of the assessed area should also be kept under consideration.

3.4. Reference Information

3.4.1. Summary of the Scoring System Employed

A summary table containing an overview of all the scored indicators and relative DPSIR categories employed in the analysis is presented in Figure 12. A detail of the scored values and ranges is presented in Figure 13.

RLP	Relative Level of Presence , representing the relevance of the EA with respect to the reference geographical context
PGC	Pressure Generation Capacity score, qualifying the ability of a given EA to generate a specific EP
EPL	Environmental Pressure Level score, quantifying the intensity of EPs affecting the area
SAL	Status Alteration Level score, classifying the alteration level of SCs with respect to the GES
PIS	Pressure Impact Score , examining how strongly a detected EP can impact on a given SC

Figure 12. Overview of the scoring system

DPSIR elements	Economic Activities	Economic Activities/ Environmental Pressures	Environmental Pressures	Status Components	Status Components/ Environmental Pressures
score	RLP	PGC	EPL	SAL	PIS
value					
0	not present	the EA does not generate EP	no pressure	no alteration	no EP/SC correlation
10	very low presence	the EA has a very low capability to generate EP	very low pressure intensity	very low alteration	very-low relevance of the EP/SC correlation
20	low presence	the EA has a low capability to generate EP	low level of pressure intensity	low alteration	low relevance of the EP/SC correlation
30	moderate presence	the EA has a moderate capability to generate EP	moderate level of pressure intensity	moderate alteration	moderate relevance of the EP/SC correlation
40	high presence	EA has a high capability to generate EP	severe level of pressure intensity	severe alteration	high relevance of the EP/SC correlation
50	very high presence	the EA has a very high capability to generate EP	very severe level of pressure intensity	very severe alteration	very high relevance of the EP/SC correlation.

Figure 13. Scoring system values and ranges

3.4.2. EOs, CIs and Descriptors

The following summary table (Figure 14) contains an overview of the IMAF EOs and CIs related to the relative MSFD Descriptors. The reported EOs and CIs are taken into consideration as primary sources of information from the available monitoring reports and data relative to environmental pressures and status components in the area under assessment.

D1-MSFD		BIODIVERSITY (EO1): C11-C15
	CI1	CI1. Habitat distributional range (EO1) to also consider habitat extent as a relevant attribute
	CI2	CI2. Condition of the habitat's typical species and communities
	CI3	CI3. Species distributional range (EO1 related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles);
	CI4	CI4. Population abundance of selected species (EO1, related to marine) mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles
	CI5	CI5. Population demographic characteristics (EO1, e.g. body size or age class structure, sex ratio, fecundity rates, survival / mortality rates related to marine mammals, seabirds, marine reptiles)
D2-MSFD		NON-INDIGENOUS SPECIES (EO2): C16
	CI6	CI6. Trends in abundance, temporal occurrence, and spatial distribution of non-indigenous species, particularly invasive, non-indigenous species, notably in risk areas (EO2, in relation to the main vectors and pathways of spreading of such species)
D3-MSFD		FISHERIES (EO3): C17-C112
	CI7	CI7. Spawning stock Biomass
	CI8	CI8. Total landings
	CI9	CI9. Fishing Mortality
	CI10	CI10. Fishing effort
	CI11	CI11. Catch per unit of effort (CPUE) or Landing per unit of effort (LPUE) as a proxy
	CI12	CI12. Bycatch of vulnerable and non-target species (EO1 and EO3)
D4-MSFD		MARINE FOOD WEBS (EO4)
D5-MSFD		EUTHROPICATION (EO5)
	CI13	CI13. Concentration of key nutrients in water column
	CI14	CI14. Chlorophyll-a concentration in water column
D6-MSFD		SEA FLOOR INTEGRITY (EO6)
D7-MSFD		HYDROGRAPHY (EO7)
	CI15	CI15. Location and extent of the habitats impacted directly by hydrographic alterations (EO7) to also feed the assessment of EO1 on habitat extent
No MSFD Descriptor		COAST (EO8)
	CI16	CI16. Length of coastline subject to physical disturbance due to the influence of man-made structures (EO8) to also feed the assessment of EO1 on habitat extent
	CI25	candidateCI25. Candidate Indicator: Land use change
D8-MSFD		CONTAMINATION (EO9)
	CI17	CI17. Concentration of key harmful contaminants measured in the relevant matrix (EO9, related to biota, sediment, seawater)
	CI18	CI18. Level of pollution effects of key contaminants where a cause and effect relationship has been established
	CI19	CI19. Occurrence, origin (where possible), and extent of acute pollution events (e.g. slicks from oil, oil products and hazardous substances) and their impact on biota affected by this pollution
D9-MSFD	CI20	CI20. Actual levels of contaminants that have been detected and number of contaminants which have exceeded maximum regulatory levels in commonly consumed seafood
Bathing Directive	CI21	CI21. Percentage of intestinal enterococci concentration measurements within established standards
D10-MSFD		MARINE LITTER (EO10)
	CI22	CI22. Trends in the amount of litter washed ashore and/or deposited on coastlines (including analysis of its composition, spatial distribution and, where possible, source)
	CI23	CI23. Trends in the amount of litter in the water column including microplastics and on the seafloor
	CI24	candidateCI24. Candidate Indicator: Trends in the amount of litter ingested by or entangling marine organisms focusing on selected mammals, marine birds and marine turtles
D11-MSFD		ENERGY (EO11)
	CI26	candidateCI26. Candidate indicator: Proportion of days and geographical distribution where loud, low, and mid-frequency impulsive sounds exceed levels that are likely to entail significant impact on marine animals
	CI27	candidate27. Candidate Indicator: Levels of continuous low frequency sounds with the use of models as appropriate

Figure 14. IMAP EOs – CIs and related MSFD descriptors

References

- [1] UNEP/MAP. (Jun 17, 2020). Common Regional Framework for Integrated Coastal Zone Management. UNEP/MAP.
- [2] MEDPOL. (2019). Example of overall interrelationships between the IMA and the DPSIR framework applied to the coastal and marine ecosystem, Podgorica, Montenegro: UNEP/MAP.
- [3] UNEP/MAP. (2016). 2017 Mediterranean Quality Status Report, COP19.
- [4] UNEP/MAP. (2016). Integrated Monitoring and Assessment Guidance – UNEP(DEPI)/MED IG.22/Inf.7. Atnene, Greece: 19th Ordinary Meeting of the Contracting Parties to the Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment and the Coastal Region of the Mediterranean and its Protocols.
- [5] CAMP Italy project. (2016). CAMP Italy project – Final Report, Italian Ministry of the Environment, Land and Sea.
- [6] European Commission. (2017). Marine Strategy Framework Directive – 2008/56/EC – Annex III.
- [7] European Commission. (2017). Commission Decision (EU) 2017/ 848.
- [8] CAMP Italy Project. (2016). CAMP Italy Annex 38 – Significance of the CAMP Italy Project with respect to MSP – MCZM and LSI.
- [9] Al., A.B. (2015). ADRIPLAN Conclusions and Recommendations: A short manual for MSP implementation in the Adriatic-Ionian Region. Venice, IT.
- [10] MSP. (2022). [Online]. Available: <https://www.sid.mit.gov.it/>.
- [11] Arpa Puglia. (2022). [Online]. Available: https://www.arpa.puglia.it/pagina2837_indicatori-ambientali.html.
- [12] ASIG. [Online]. Available: <https://geoportal.asig.gov.al/en>.
- [13] MarE WebGIS. (2021). [Online]. Available: http://gis.margrey.bo.ismar.cnr.it:8080/mokaApp/apps/mare_v1/index.html?null.
- [14] GEF Adriatic Project. (5 September 2021). Status of the marine environment in Albania.
- [15] GEF Adriatic Project. (25 November 2021). Towards an Integrated Marine Good Environmental Status (GES) Assessment for Albania. UNEP/MAP.
- [16] T33 Sound Policy. (n.d.). Strategic Environmental Assessment of Italy – Albania – Montenegro.
- [17] European Commission. (03 May 2016). Commission Implementing Decision C(2016)2803 – Interreg IPA II Cross-border Cooperation Programme ITALY-ALBANIA-MONTENEGRO 2014-2020.

FOSTERING PARTNERSHIPS ACROSS THE ADRIATIC SEA

For coastal sustainability in Albania and Italy

CAMP Otranto is the first transboundary project since the launch of the Coastal Areas Management Programme (CAMP) in 1989. The project's main objective is to test the CAMP methodology at a transboundary scale in the Otranto Strait, including marine areas within and beyond national jurisdiction which affect both Albania and Italy regardless of different natural, juridical and socio-economic conditions. By tackling coastal and marine environmental challenges in this very sensitive part of the Mediterranean basin, the project contributes to the development of sustainable coastal management and sharing know-how and modelling best practice

FOR ADDITIONAL RESOURCES AND DETAILS ON CAMP PROJECTS,
VISIT WWW.PAPRAC.ORG



Mediterranean
Action Plan
Barcelona
Convention



REGIONE
PUGLIA



AKZM
AGJENCIA KOMBËTARE
E ZONAVE TË MBROJTURA